

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,080	080 02/05/2004 Italo Corzani		CM2598MC	6036	
27752	7590 07/01/2005		EXAMINER		
	CTER & GAMBLE CO	JOHNSON, EDWARD M			
	ILL TECHNICAL CEN	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
6110 CENT	ER HILL AVENUE	1754			
CINCINNA	TI, OH 45224	DATE MAIL ED: 07/01/2009	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/773,	080	CORZANI ET AL.				
		Examin	ər	Art Unit				
			M. Johnson	1754				
The M. Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on ti	ne cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	iress			
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MO - If the period for recommendation of the factor	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F B DATE OF THIS COMMUN The may be available under the provisions B DATE OF THIS COMMUN THIS from the mailing date of this comic peply specified above, the maximum sorth within the set or extended period for reply the by the Office later than three months the mailing the set of t	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. 30) days, a reply within the st tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a reply be tire atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co				
Status								
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) file	ed on 13 August 200) <u>/</u>					
· ·	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 August 2004</u> . nis action is FINAL .							
· -								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of C								
· _		It						
	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·								
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
o) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Application Pape	ers							
9)⊠ The spe	cification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
10)∐ The drav))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applican	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replace	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
. 11)☐ The oath	n or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119							
	edgment is made of a claim o)☐ Some * c)⊠ None of:	for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ C	ertified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received.	•				
2. C	ertified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received in Applicati	ion No				
	opies of the certified copies pplication from the Internation			ed in this National S	Stage			
	attached detailed Office action	•	, ,,	2d				
000 1110 0	madrica detailed effice dete		uned copies not receive	su.				
Attachment(s)								
	ences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	person's Patent Drawing Review (F closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO	-152)			
Paper No(s)/Ma		2.23.00)	6) Other:	, , ,	7			

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the term "said" is used in line 5. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,080

Art Unit: 1754

4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hauck et al. US 4,793,921.

Regarding claims 1, 4, 8, Hauck '921 discloses an adsorbent layer of silica gel doped with 0.9 ml HCl in methanol (see Example 1 and claim 1), which would inherently correspond to 1000 ppm and at least about 0.5 nm on a molecular level.

Regarding claims 2-3 and 5, Hauck '921 discloses HCl in methanol, which would inherently correspond to an adsorption capacity of 8 mg/g or 30% greater and at least about 0.5 nm on a molecular level.

Regarding claims 6-7 and 9-10 Hauck '921 discloses silica gel adsorbent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,080

Art Unit: 1754

6. Claims 11-13 and 15-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hauck '191 in view of Leman US 5,719,101.

Regarding claims 11, 18, 24, 28, 32, 35, and 38, Hauck fails to disclose metals or organo-metallics.

Leman '101 discloses metallic chelate, such as phthalocyanine (see column 6, lines 37-41) and copper (see column 5, lines 5-12).

It is considered that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use precipitated salts, the phthalocyanine and copper of Leman in the adsorbent of Hauck because Leman discloses the phthalocyanine and copper in a porous, granular, adsorbent material (column 1, lines 9-12) to provide places favoring catalysis effects (see column 5, lines 5-8) and for sweetening of petroleum cuts (see column 6, lines 39-41).

Regarding claims 12-13, Leman '101 discloses copper (see column 5, lines 5-12).

Regarding claims 15-17, 20-23, 25-27, 29-31, 33-34, 36-37, and 39 Leman '101 discloses impregnation of 2.5-4 kg per cubic meter of granulate (see Example 2).

Regarding claim 19, Leman '101 discloses metallic chelate, such as phthalocyanine (see column 6, lines 37-41) and copper (see column 5, lines 5-12).

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hauck '191 in view of Leman '101 as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Wieserman et al. US 5,037,795.

Regarding claim 14, Hauck fails to disclose silver.

Wieserman '795 discloses silver and gold (see column 5, lines 10-11).

It is considered that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the silver or gold of Wieserman in the adsorbent of Hauck because Wieserman discloses the silver for forming the chromatographic material (see column 5, lines 1-7).

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wieserman et al. US 4,994,429 discloses active material useful as adsorbent comprising metal oxides and organic acid (see abstract, Examples).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M.

Art Unit: 1754

Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward M. Johnson

Examiner

Art Unit 1754

EMJ